

Turkish Economic Review

www.kspjournals.org

Peer Reviewer Report

Article number	
Title of the article	
Date of receipt by peer reviewer	
Date of report	

Dear peer reviewer, Please mark below questions by using quinary Likert system.

5-Very good 4-Good 3-Fair 2-Bad 1-Very bad

	5	4	3	2	1
Is the article proper for the publishing realm of TER?					
Are the spelling rules properly fulfilled?					
Is the language academic/scientific?					
Is there any doubt about plagiarism at any level?					
Are the title and contents in a harmony?					
Is the abstract sufficient?					
Is the classification sufficient?					
Is the article an original study?					
Does the article make any contribution to the science?					
Does the article make any contribution to the related field?					
Has the hypothesis been stated clearly?					
Has the theoretical frame of the subject been stated?					
Is there a scientific methodology?					
Are the data set and sources of data reliable?					
Are the evidences clear and sufficient regarding with the hypothesis?					
Are the results sufficient?					
Is the literature review sufficient?					
Is there SSCI citation about 30 per cent?					
Is it sufficient for the level of TER Publishing?					

Dear Peer Reviewer, which one is your decision about the article which above has been given information about, according to your marks?

It can be published.	paraph
----------------------	--------

It cannot be published.	paraph
-------------------------	--------

It has to be corrected.	paraph
-------------------------	--------

Turkish Economic Review

www.kspjournals.org

Please write your reasons, if your decision is “It cannot be published”.

Please write the points that have to be corrected, if your decision is ‘It has to be corrected.

I think the article which given information about CAN NOT BE PUBLISHED/CAN BE PUBLISHED AFTER IT IS CORRECTED. Because:

Turkish Economic Review

www.kspjournals.org

