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Conference Notes

Peaceful solution alternatives to the problem and “Dialog” possibilities in Middle East crisis are discussed in the conference which has the main subject of “IsteinDialogimNahenOstenmöglich?” and is organized by Prof. Dr. Klaus Otte and Gesellschaft für Dialogforschung – Dialog Research Community in the cities of Koblenz and Altenkirchen in Germany between 24-26 October 2014.

Energy resources usage and sharing based politics have been rooted for the last century in Middle East. Although sometimes normal market conditions are applied, however this sharing mostly is carried out with weapons. The resource allocation wars caused millions of people to migrate and many to die for 50 years.

Mega imperial energy monopolies ambitiously try to have exclusive profit as transmission the region’s underground energy resources to global markets so that this makes both local Powers and global finance capital owners aggressive. The energy market oriented market share attempts make often weapon monopolies mouth water. Finance capitals which are collected for local monopolies by lobbies, espionage and provocations reach to attention of weapon-war industry people.

Dynamic crisis regions turned out to be indispensable markets for war industry products as globally. Furthermore, the differences between nations, peoples, beliefs and cultures are not regarded as humanitarian-social wealth but the resources of bloody struggles and huge disasters. On the other hand, local conflicts, frictions and enmity creating conflicts and local dominants’ demands of gaining more land and market share turn out to continuous war settings.

The dialog efforts in the region create acceptance and resonance among working people levels and belief sets. This acceptance and urgent need to peace and tranquility do not remain only as community demand and sometimes manage to affect the executives. The increase of peace and tranquility demand make ease of DIALOG efforts and attempts to take effective results.

Dialog demands and perspectives likewise should never be out of agenda by local civil society initiatives. Passive dialog demand exists even in periods of dialog attempts seem to be weak and ineffective. However, without giving attention to its color and belief, need of dialog and peace are felt by working people among the noise and footsteps of war.

Financial media monopolies and war touts overshadow the demand of peace anf dialog with their images and bloody faces. Peace media and whole humanizm...
attempts should continue to dialog calls and search without giving any break. Peace must be come down…

Recently, the new trend peace journalism as other civil peace-dialog initiatives seems to be very important element of dialog efforts.